

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/754,486	01/03/2001	Stephen Temple	27754/X254A	4903
4743 75	590 09/23/2002			
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN			EXAMINER	
6300 SEARS T 233 SOUTH W	ACKER		STAICOVICI, STEFAN	
CHICAGO, IL 60606-6357			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1732	
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			7				
	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)					
	09/754,486	TEMPLE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_				
	Stefan Staicovici	1732					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a rolly within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	January 2001 .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ TI	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-10,12-22 and 28-35</u> is/are pending	n in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	•						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
8) Claim(s) <u>7-10, 10-22 and 28-35</u> are subject to	restriction and/or election	requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by t	ne Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		isapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.						
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
Certified copies of the priority documen							
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in A	pplication No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Q					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) The translation of the foreign language pr 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 	• •						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/754,486 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 7-10, 12-22 and 32-35, drawn to a laser process, classified in class 264,

subclass 400.

II. Claims 28-31, drawn to a laser apparatus, classified in class 219, subclass 121.73.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions Group I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The

inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced

by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used

to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the

apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another brand materially different process such as a

laser process including, increasing/decreasing the laser power to trim the inner surface of the

resulting nozzle by moving the position of the focal point as determined by the converging lens.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Further, Group I of this application contains claims directed to the following patentably

distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A: a laser process for forming nozzles including, Cassegrain reflective lens as

evidenced by Figure 4;

Species B: a laser process for forming nozzles including, a flyseye lens as evidenced by Figure 2;

Page 3

Species C: a laser process for forming nozzles including, a beam homogenizer as evidenced by Figures 6a and 6b.

Furthermore Group II of this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species D: a laser apparatus for forming nozzles including, Cassegrain reflective lens as evidenced by Figure 4;

Species E: a laser apparatus for forming nozzles including, a flyseye lens as evidenced by Figure 2;

Species F: a laser apparatus for forming nozzles including, a beam homogenizer as evidenced by Figures 6a and 6b.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic as to Species A, B and C. However, claim 28 is generic as to Species D, E and F.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09/754,486

Art Unit: 1732

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. A telephone call was made to Mr. James Zeller on September 13, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/754,486

Art Unit: 1732

Page 5

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Stefan Staicovici, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 305-

0396. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM and

alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jan H. Silbaugh, can be reached at (703) 308-3829. The fax phone number for this

Group is (703) 305-7718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Stefan Staicovici, PhD

AU 1732

7/22/22

SS

September 22, 2002